STATEMENT OF CASE

VIOLATONS OF CONSTATUTIONAL RIGHTS

FORTEENTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS

FIFTH AMENDMENT RIGHTS

BECAUSE OF NEGLIGENCE OF THE RESPONDENTS

ACT 170 OF 1964 . 691.1410

ACT 170 OF 1964 691. 1411

1995 MICHIGAN ATTORNEY GENERAL

KENT COUNTY FRIEND OF THE COURT

PLACED INTO FOSTERCARE . MY TWO MINOR CHILDREN FORMER WIFE AND NEW HUSBAND . ABUSED AND MOLESTED CHILDREN .THE LAW REQUIRES CHILDREN BE PLACED WITH THE OTHER PARENT WITH RIGHTS BEFORE FOSTERCARE CAN BE DONE. PETITIONER HAD ALL HIS RIGHTS TO HIS SUCH CHILDREN AND WAS PAYING SUPPORT. NO SUCH TIME DID THE RESPONDENTS EVER EVEN NOTIFY THE PETITIONER OF PLACEMENT OR A TERANATION OREDER EVER ISSUDED.

PETITIONER HAS SAID IT'S A VIOLATION OF DUE PROCESS . FOR YEARS THE RESPONDENTS HAD A CHANCE TO NOTIFY THE PETITIONE 17 YEARS OF LOOKING FOR SUCH CHILDREN LETTERS AND ASKING FOR IMFORMATATION ON CHILDREN THE PETITIONER WAS FORCE TO BRING LEGAL ACTION. IN 2004. THE PETITIONER . FOUND HIS CHILDREN AND FOUND OUT ABOUT THE ABUSE AND MOLESTING OF CHILDREN IN THE STATES HANDS AND ABUSE AND MOLESTING OF MY CHILDREN FOR YEARS.

IN 2002 . PETITIONER ASKED . THE U.S. SUPREME COURT FOR PERMISHION TO FILE AND INVESTAGATE FURTHER AND BRING LEGAL ACTION TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT THE U.S. SUPREME COURT . FILED AND SAID . LOWER COURTS . OPINIONS MUST BE AMENDED TO THE CASE FIRST.

WHEN PETITIONER WAS FILING FOR THE LOWER COURTS OPINIONS . THE RESPONDENTS . HARRASS AND THREATEN. PETITIONER TOLD AND WROTE TO THE RESPONDENTS TO STOP VIOLATING THE FEDERAL RULES OF COURT PROCEEDURES AND GUIDELINES . PETITIONER ,ASKED FOR PERMISHION FIRST ALREADY BY , THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

PETITIONER FILED WITH THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT OF MICHIGAN ,.WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN . SOUTHERN DIVISION . THE COURT SET DATES OF 20 DAYS TO ANSWER THE SUMMONS. ONE OF THE RESPONDENTS DID NOT ANSWER AFTER 3 MONTHS . THE PETITIONER FILED FOR A DEFAULT JUDGEMENT OF HALF OF 50,000,000 FOR DEFAULT THE OTHER HALF TO SET A DATE FOR TRIAL OR AWARD ON BEHALF OF THE COURT RECORDS.THAT SHOW THE VIOLATIONS HAPPEN TO THE PETITIONER AND CHILDREN.

HON. JOSEPH G. SCOVILLE . RECONNEDMENDED THAT THE CASE BE DISMISSED ON . THAT A TERRANATION OF RIGHTS ORDER WAS NOT NEEDED IN COURT. AND BECAUSE THE RESPONDENTS DID NOT ANSWER THE SUMMONS . WAS NOT A GOOD ISSUSE TO SET A COURT DATE. AND LACKED JURISDICTION

THE RESPONDENTS FILED OUTSIDE OF FEDERAL CASE 1:03-CV-803 . HARRASSING THREATING , AND ATTEMPTED TO HAVE THE PETITIONER LOCKED UP ON FAKE AND FALSE CHARGES IN THE STATE OF FLORIDA.

THE RESPONDENT FILED MANY LOWER COURT RECORDS THAT SHOW NO TERMANATION ORDER WAS TAKEN OR RIGHTS TERMANATED . CHILDREN WERE ABUSED AND MOLESTED. AND STILL NO COURT DATE.

APPEALED TO THE SIXTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS . IN THE TIMELY MATTER. FILED THE RESPONDENTS STILL HARRASS AND THREATEN AND AGAIN FILE OUTSIDE OF FEDERAL CASE 04-2261 IN FLORIDA STATE COURTS TO HAVE THE PETITIONER LOCKED UP ON FAKE AND FALSE CHARGES.

THE PETITIONER HAS FOLLOWED ALL THE COURT RULES AND DONE EVERYTHING THE COURTS ORDERED THE PETITIONER TO DO.

APPEALS CASE , 04-2261 , HON. KENNEDY , CLAY, GILMAN , CIRCUIT JUDGES , RULED ,

THE PETITIONER HAS PARENTAL ISSUSES AND ISSUSES OF THE 14TH AND 5TH AMENDMENTS RIGHTS IN THE CASE , 04-2261 , AND THE DEFAULT JUDGEMENT SHOULD HAVE BEEN THE FIRST CHARGE , THE PETITIONER SHOULD HAVE ASKED FOR IN THE APPEALS . THE PETITIONER DID IN FACT FILE FOR AND ASK FOR A DEFAULT JUDGEMENT AND DENIED

IN THE APPEALS CASE . 04-2261 . WAS FILED A ADDMITTION OF GUILT BY THE STATE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF FLORIDA ONBEHALF OF THE STATE OF MICHIGAN. FLORIDA SEEN THE TRUTH AND COURT RECORDS, AND RULED THE STATE OF FLORIDA DOES NOT WANT ANY PART OF THE VIOLATIONS OF THE RESPONDENTS ACTIONS TAKEN AGAINST THE PETITIONER

PETITIONER FILED FOR A REHEARING ON SEPTEMBER 08 ,2005 . IN A TIMELY MATTER AND WAS DENIED.

U.S.C. RULE 13 . SAY PETITIONER HAS 90 DAYS TO FILE A APPEAL WITH THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. BEFORE THE 90 DAYS . THE RESPONDENTS AGAIN HARRASS AND THREAT THE PETITIONER DURING THE APPEAL PROCESS AND AGAINST THE U.S.C. RULE 13.

HIDING THE FACTS AND RECORDS OF ABUSE AND OR CHILD MOLESTATION OF MINOR CHILDREN OF PETITIONER . DARRYL LEE HAAN.

FILING OUTSIDE OF FEDERAL COURT CASES DISTRICT COURT AND APPEALS COURT DURING ONGOING FEDERAL CASE AGAINST PETITIONER PAIN AND SUFFERING AND DAMAGES ONGOING AS OF TODAY IN THE U.S. SUPREME COURT CASE

THE PETITIONER IS BEFORE THE COURT ASKING FOR THE PARENTAL RIGHTS AND CONSTATIONAL RIGHTS BE UPHELD AND RULED UPON.

HOW MUCH IS ENOUGH IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA . I ASK THIS U.S. SUPREME COURT AND JUSTICES TO SET THE RECORD STRAIT AND RULE TO UPHOLD THE LAWS THAT ARE WRITTEN .

JANUARY 31, 2006 DARRYL LEE HAAN , PETITIONER

APRIL 18, 2006 11. Darryl Haan

INDEX TO APPENDICES

APPENDIX A . U.S. SUPREME COURT

APPENDIX B. MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

APPENDIX C. UNITED STATRES DISTRICT COURT.
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN .
SOUTHERN DIVISION

APPENDIX D. THRU APPENDIX M
WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION

APPENDIX N. U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX . O. U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

APPENDIX. P . U.S. SUPREME COURT